Comment on the proposed conservation of the specific name of *Papilio eurymedon* Lucas, 1852 (Insecta, Lepidoptera)
(Case 3222; see BZN **59**: 114–116)

E.D. Edwards
CSIRO Entomology, GPO Box 1700, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

M.S. Upton
Unit 2/25, Temperley Street, Nicholls, ACT 2913, Australia

We support the application by Heppner and Emmel to suppress the name *Papilio antinous* Donovan, 1805 for the purposes of the Principle of Priority. The name was listed in a few Australian catalogues in the 19th century for an Australian (or reputedly Australian) butterfly species. It was not mentioned again in the Australian literature until Upton (1985, p. 169) pointed out that it is a senior subjective synonym of *Papilio eurymedon* Lucas, 1852 from North America and recommended that the name *P. antinous* be suppressed. The name *P. antinous* has never been associated with any true Australian butterfly and suppression of the name will not affect the nomenclature of Australian butterflies.

Comment on the proposed conservation of the specific name of *Chlorops meigenii* Loew, 1866 (Insecta, Diptera)
(Case 3190; see BZN **58**: 286–287)

Terry A. Wheeler and Stéphanie Boucher
Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Macdonald Campus, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, H9X 3V9 Canada

We support the application under Article 23.9 of the Code, for conservation by reversal of precedence of the specific name of *Chlorops meigenii* Loew, 1866 over that of *Chlorops meigenii* Fallén, 1823. Strict application of the Code in this case would cause confusion as to the correct name of the Palaearctic species referred to by most authors for over 100 years as *Chlorops meigenii* Loew, 1866. A number of references in addition to those cited in BZN **58**: 287 use this name (or the unjustified emendation *Chlorops meigeni*) for the Palaearctic chloropid species. Nartshuk (BZN **58**: 286, para. 3) noted, correctly, that the junior synonym *Chlorops rufescens* Oldenberg, 1923 cannot be used as the valid name for this species because of its homonymy with the Nearctic species *Chlorops rufescens* Coquillett, 1910.

The senior homonym *Chlorops meigenii* Fallén, 1823 has not been used as a valid name for over 100 years. *Cerodontha denticornis* Panzer, 1806 (Insecta, Diptera, AGROMYZIDAE) is the type species of the genus *Cerodontha*, and is an abundant, widespread and easily recognized Palaearctic species. As the type of *Chlorops meigenii* Fallén, 1823 is an agromyzid and has long been considered a junior synonym of *Cerodontha denticornis* (e.g. Nowakowski, 1973; Papp, 1984) to reverse precedence and treat this name as junior to *Chlorops meigenii* Loew, 1866 would not cause